# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0205** (JIRA:UCCNCTS-2421) |
| **Related Incident ID** | **IM517677 / KE22933** |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | **NA-BE** |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 - CSE-v51.8.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard**  **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes  No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 - CSE-v51.8.0): Correction of Appendix N to add the (missing) IE114/IE115 from status "Under enquiry procedure". |
| DDNTA Appendix N shall be updated by adding the IE114, IE115, IE164, IE165, IE002, IE003 messages as self-transitions to the states “*Under enquiry procedure*” & “*Enquiry recommended*” (i.e. from these states to themselves). The correction will ensure consistency with the Main Document. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| In DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00, the Main Document includes (in section **III.V.1.2 Office of Departure (after movement release**, under the section **III.V State Transition Diagrams**) the following explanation:    The Figure 125 of the Main Document also indicates clearly that the same messages (IE002, IE003, IE114, IE115, IE164, IE165) can be exchanged when the state at Office of Departure is ‘Under Enquiry Procedure’:    But in the Appendix N (of DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00), it is not mentioned that the exchanges of IE002, IE003, IE114, IE115, IE164 and IE165 can be exchanged when the state at Office of Departure is   * ‘Enquiry recommended’ * ‘Under enquiry procedure’.   as illustrated below:      In the sheet “TRA-OoDep”, rows should be inserted for receiving message IE002, IE114,IE164 and for sending IE003, IE115, IE165. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| In **DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00** Appendix N shall be corrected as follows: (addition of **text highlighted in yellow**).      **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal **concerns only documentary changes**, thus there is no impact on business continuity.    **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   Not applicable.  NTA must be aligned to Main Document that is correct.  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     Not applicable.  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  13.01.2023.    **Risk in case of non-implementation:**         Not applicable.  **Impacted CI Artefacts:**   * **DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 (Appendix N): Yes.** * CSE-v51.8.0: No. * DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00: No**.** * Functional Specifications NCTS-P5 (FSS/BPM): 5.30.2: No. * DDCOM-20.4.0-v1.00: No. * DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 (Main Document): No. * DMP Package-v5.7.0-v1.00: No. * CTS-5.7.1-v1.00: No. * ACS Main Document: v5.8.0-v1.00 & ACS Annex for NCTS: 5.8.0-v1.00: No. * CTP-5.10.0-v1.00: No. * TRP-5.11.1: No. * CRP-5.7.4-v1.00: No. * ieCA 1.0.4.1: No. * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.50-v1.00: No. * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No. * CS/RD2\_DATA: No. * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No.   **NCTS-P6: No impact [The Appendix N is already correct in DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00]** |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-v5.15.0 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | Appendix N shall be updated as per section 3. | |

***Estimated* impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | No impact.  (Only a correction of the documentation) | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by SOFTDEV | 24/11/2022 | *Draft by SOFTDEV* |
| v0.20 | Draft by SOFTDEV | 07/12/2022 | *Implemented format comments by DG TAXUD* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 08/01/2023 | ***Proposed to be part of the RFC-List.37 - Purely documentary, to avoid any confusion.*** |
| ***Considered as accepted following absence of comments from NPMs by 21.01.2023.  No change applied compared to v1.00.*** | | | |